

Wave IV Section 3: Relationships with Siblings

Number of observations: 15,701

This section asks questions about your siblings.

H4WS1		Num	1. How many brothers and sisters do you have, both living and deceased? Include biologically related, adoptive, and step-brothers or sisters.* NOTE: Smallest 5 and largest 5 values are displayed.
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
643	4.1%	0	0 siblings
3693	23.5%	1	1 sibling
3927	25.0%	2	2 siblings
2743	17.5%	3	3 siblings
1738	11.1%	4	4 siblings
2899	18.5%	5-17	NOTE: Range of values omitted from display
3	0.0%	18	18 siblings
7	0.0%	19	19 siblings
27	0.2%	20	20 siblings
2	0.0%	96	refused
19	0.1%	98	don't know

If Q.1 > 0, ask Q.2, else skip to Q.4.

H4WS2		Num	2. How many have died?*
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
13717	87.4%	0	0 siblings
1107	7.1%	1	1 sibling
148	0.9%	2	2 siblings
29	0.2%	3	3 siblings

8	0.1%	4	4 siblings
1	0.0%	6	6 siblings
1	0.0%	7	7 siblings
1	0.0%	8	8 siblings
2	0.0%	96	refused
664	4.2%	97	legitimate skip
23	0.1%	98	don't know

If Q.2 = 0, 96, 98, then skip to Q.4.

Please list the initials of your siblings who have died.*

H4WS3A		Num	3A. In what year did {INITIALS} die? NOTE: Smallest 5 and largest 5 values are displayed.
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
1	0.0%	1948	1948
1	0.0%	1950	1950
1	0.0%	1954	1954
1	0.0%	1958	1958
2	0.0%	1960	1960
1031	6.6%	1961-2005	NOTE: Range of values omitted from display
59	0.4%	2006	2006
58	0.4%	2007	2007
24	0.2%	2008	2008
14406	91.8%	9997	legitimate skip
117	0.7%	9998	don't know

H4WS3B		Num	3B. In what year did {INITIALS} die? NOTE: Smallest 5 and largest 5 values are displayed.
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label

1	0.0%	1959	1959
1	0.0%	1960	1960
1	0.0%	1962	1962
2	0.0%	1963	1963
1	0.0%	1965	1965
117	0.7%	1967-2005	NOTE: Range of values omitted from display
10	0.1%	2006	2006
10	0.1%	2007	2007
3	0.0%	2008	2008
15513	98.8%	9997	legitimate skip
42	0.3%	9998	don't know

H4WS3C		Num	3C. In what year did {INITIALS} die? NOTE: Smallest 5 and largest 5 values are displayed.
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
1	0.0%	1954	1954
1	0.0%	1967	1967
2	0.0%	1970	1970
2	0.0%	1972	1972
1	0.0%	1975	1975
15	0.1%	1977-1996	NOTE: Range of values omitted from display
1	0.0%	1999	1999
2	0.0%	2005	2005
1	0.0%	2008	2008
15661	99.7%	9997	legitimate skip
14	0.1%	9998	don't know

H4WS3D		Num	3D. In what year did {INITIALS} die?
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
1	0.0%	1971	1971
1	0.0%	1975	1975
1	0.0%	1981	1981
2	0.0%	1986	1986
1	0.0%	1988	1988
1	0.0%	2005	2005
1	0.0%	2006	2006
15690	99.9%	9997	legitimate skip
3	0.0%	9998	don't know

H4WS3E		Num	3E. In what year did {INITIALS} die?
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
1	0.0%	1976	1976
1	0.0%	1984	1984
15698	100.0%	9997	legitimate skip
1	0.0%	9998	don't know

H4WS3F		Num	3F. In what year did {INITIALS} die?
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
1	0.0%	1976	1976
1	0.0%	1982	1982
15698	100.0%	9997	legitimate skip
1	0.0%	9998	don't know

H4WS3G		Num	3G. In what year did {INITIALS} die?
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label

1	0.0%	1981	1981
1	0.0%	1983	1983
15699	100.0%	9997	legitimate skip

H4WS3H		Num	3H. In what year did {INITIALS} die?
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
1	0.0%	1983	1983
15700	100.0%	9997	legitimate skip

H4WS4		Num	4. How many close friends do you have? (Close friends include people whom you feel at ease with, can talk to about private matters, and can call on for help.)
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
476	3.0%	1	none
3634	23.1%	2	1 or 2 friends
6956	44.3%	3	3 to 5 friends
2536	16.2%	4	6 to 9 friends
1900	12.1%	5	10 or more friends
193	1.2%	95	not asked on pretest
3	0.0%	96	refused
3	0.0%	98	don't know

If Q.4 = 1, skip to Section 4.

H4WS5		Num	5. What race are your close friends?
Frequency	Percent	Value	Label
6616	42.1%	1	all your race
2540	16.2%	2	almost all your race
2172	13.8%	3	mostly your race
2193	14.0%	4	about half your race

761	4.8%	5	mostly other races
386	2.5%	6	almost all other races
543	3.5%	7	all other races
4	0.0%	96	refused
476	3.0%	97	legitimate skip
10	0.1%	98	don't know

***H4WS1**

Includes soft check if H4WS1 > 15: "Please confirm the number of siblings reported in H4WS1."

***H4WS2**

There were 62 instances with identical years of death for 2 or more siblings of the same respondent, suggesting duplicates. The sibling initials, which would have allowed us to verify duplication, were not retained in order to protect the confidentiality of respondents. Because the survey software automatically generated a table with number of rows equal to the number of sibling deaths indicated by the respondent in H4WS2, and a check of the software indicated it was working correctly for this question, we assume that siblings of the same respondent with the same year of death are not duplicates.

***H4WS3**

Includes soft check if H4WS3 < 1950: "Please confirm the year reported in H4WS3."