ONEdata Obesity & Neighborhood Environment Database

Misa Graff, Ph.D. On behalf of the ONEdata Group University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill





Purpose of ONEdata: Characterize the Obesogenic Neighborhood



Obesity & Environment

- Research findings
- Database development process
- Overview of environment data





Obesity and Environment Research Findings





Background

- Adolescence and young adulthood are major periods of biological, social and behavioral development, with potential importance for future health behaviors.
- There is a paucity of research on the multiple dimensions of influence operating on behaviors during adolescence and young adulthood:
 - Household, school, community and wider environment?
- This is what prompted us to generate the
 ONEdata Database



ONECLATA Obesity & Neighborhood Environment database

An Ecological Model of Diet, Physical Activity, and Obesity



Developed for the NHLBI Workshop: Predictors of Obesity, Weight Gain, Diet, and Physical Activity; August 2004, Bethesda MD



Developed for the NHLBI Workshop: Predictors of Obesity, Weight Gain, Diet, and Physical Activity; August 2004, Bethesda MD

The National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health (Add Health)

- Wave I (1995) 20,745 respondents
- ♦ Wave II (1996) 14,738 wave I respondents (in school)
- ♦ Wave III (2001) 15,197 original wave I respondents
- Wave IV (2007) ~17,000 original wave I respondents





ONEdata includes environment data at two waves

- Wave I (1995) 20,745 respondents
- ♦ Wave II (1996) 14,738 wave I respondents (in school)
- ♦ Wave III (2001) 15,197 original wave I respondents
- Wave IV (2007) ~17,000 original wave I respondents





BMI Distribution of Add Health respondents at adolescence and young adulthood



Gordon-Larsen, P. et al. 2004. Am J Clin Nutr

Proportion of respondents with ≥5 bouts MVPA/wk drops from adolescence & adulthood



Gordon-Larsen, et al. Am J Prev Med 2004.

Proportion of respondents with ≤14 hours of weekly "screen time" remains relatively high.



Gordon-Larsen, et al. Am J Prev Med 2004.

Research Question

What about modifiable environmental factors?





There Are Important Associations Between Modifiable Environmental Factors and Activity Patterns of US Adolescents



Adjusted for sex, age, SES, urban residence, in-school status, pregnancy, region and month of interview

Research Question

- At a national level: Are physical activity resources and facilities equitably distributed by SES and race/ethnicity?
- How does distribution of resources impact health outcomes?



Add Health Neighborhoods





Add Health Neighborhoods 42,857 block groups w/in 5 mi of respondent





Add Health Neighborhoods 42,857 block groups w/in 5 mi of respondent





Aggregation of Physical Activity Facilities [N=67,080] From Digitized Business Records

- **Schools:** Elementary, secondary, college, university
- **Public:** Public swimming pools, tennis courts, parks
- Youth organization: Boy/girl scouts, youth centers,
- Parks: Park and recreation services
- **<u>YMCA</u>:** YMCA, YWCA
- **Public Fee:** Physical fitness facilities, bicycle rental
- Instructional: Activity-related classes or instructors
- **Outdoor:** Sporting and recreational camps, swimming pools
- Member: Athletic club and gymnasium, tennis club
- **<u>All</u>**: All facilities across all categories



Analysis Methods

Logistic regression analyses tested:

 The relationship of PA-related facilities with block group socioeconomic status (SES)

(All analyses control for population density)



Odds of Having at Least One PA Facility are Higher Among Neighborhoods With More Educated[†] Populations[‡]



[†] Increased odds given each 100% increase in population with college education+ [‡]Adjusted by population density and % minority population

Odds of Having at Least One PA Facility are Higher Among Neighborhoods With More Educated[†] Populations[‡]



[†] Increased odds given each 100% increase in population with college education+
 [‡] Adjusted by population density and % minority population

Odds of Having at Least One PA Facility are Higher Among Neighborhoods With More Educated[†] Populations[‡]



[†] Increased odds given each 100% increase in population with college education+
 [‡] Adjusted by population density and % minority population

Lowest Education and Highest Minority Population Were Least Likely to Have at Least One PA Facility *



Odds Ratios (95% CI) include minority*education interaction, adjusting for population density

Logistic regression analyses tested:

- Subsequent association of PA facilities with likelihood of:
 - being overweight (BMI ≥95th)
 - achieving 5+ bouts/week moderate-vigorous PA

(Control for population density)



Odds of Overweight Decreases and MVPA Increases with Greater Number of Facilities

Recreation Facilities (#)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	
	Overweight	MVPA	
1	0.95 (0.90-0.99)	1.03 (1.0-1.06)	
2	0.90 (0.82-0.98)	1.07 (1.02-1.21)	
3	0.85 (0.74-0.97)	1.10 (1.03-1.19)	
4	0.80 (0.67-0.96)	1.14 (1.03-1.26)	
5	0.76 (0.60-0.95)	1.18 (1.04-1.33)	
6	0.72 (0.55-0.95)	1.22 (1.05-1.41)	
7	0.68 (0.49-0.94)	1.26 (1.06-1.26)	

Odds Ratios (95% CI) adjusting for population density

Summary of Findings

- At a national level all major categories of physical activity-related resources are inequitably distributed
- Low SES, minority neighborhoods at strong disadvantage
- Further, this inequitable distribution is significantly associated with subsequent disparities in health outcomes



What does Add Health data offer?

- Provides unprecedented opportunity to explore determinants operating at multiple levels of influence
- Large samples of ethnically diverse adolescents followed over time, including special subsamples, such as siblings
- Unique environmental database offers exciting potential for research





Obesity and Environment Database Development Process





The Obesogenic Environment













Dan Burden, Walkable Communities Inc.







Dan Burden, Walkable Communities Inc.





Obesity & Neighborhood Environment database



The Built Environment





Environment can be broadly defined when looking at obesity, activity, and diet

- Built Environment
 - Urban design, land use, transportation system
 - Sprawl, walkability
- Economic Context
 - Prices: Housing, cost of living
- Sociodemographic context
 - Community race/ethnicity, income, wealth
- Social context
 - Crime, traffic, aesthetics, degradation
- Sociopolitical context
 - Zoning, governance, legal realm





Definition of Built Environment

- "All buildings, spaces and products that are created, or modified, by people.
 - It includes homes, schools, workplaces, parks/recreation areas, greenways, business areas and transportation systems."
- Urban design, land use, transportation systems
- "Consists of the neighborhoods, roads, buildings, food sources, and recreational facilities in which people live, work, are educated, eat, and play"

Obesity & Neighborhood Environment database

ESRI Mapping Center

Sec.



Place Locations onto Map



Geographic Information System (GIS)

- GIS is essentially a computerized map
 - allows plotting of resource layers onto a coordinate system
 - Can then spatially analyze the density and proximity of resources, environment factors, and population characteristics
- Using GIS, locations can be geocoded, or assigned a geographic reference, such as latitude and longitude.





For a GIS approach

- Have addresses or GPS for each respondent
- Geocode these addresses
- Build environment database
- Join environment database to individual attribute data

Building the database

Used 2 time points: Waves 1 and 3





Integrate built environment measures into a database that can then be linked to individual-level attribute data

- Linkage to contextual databases through collecting detailed location data by street address GIS and GPS
- Linkage to broad set of national data on: Economics, Policy, Zoning, Government Regulation at small levels of geographic scale
- Enable ability to get at multiple levels of influence
 - individual family/home, school/peers, community, industry/government, culture/society



Obesity & Neighborhood Environment database

State of GIS-derived research

- National samples using large geographic scale units (e.g., state-level effects)
- Highly detailed measures, sometimes collected via audits, in one small geographic area





ArcView & ArcGIS software are not designed for population-based studies

- Problem: ArcView & ArcGIS GUI interfaces designed for user-driven "one-off" analyses and operations
 - Requires building software to run on top of ArcView & ArcGIS to drive the data processing flow
- Problem: ArcView & ArcInfo have database size limitations exceeded by Add Health national sample
 - Requires coding workarounds or developing custom software alternatives (e.g. Net-Engine, Python, Avenue, C++)





Obesity and Environment Overview of Environment Data





Respondent Locations

- Respondent residential locations geocoded
 - Street-segment matches from address geocoding given precedence (83% match rate)
 - GPS (15% match rate) and ZIP+4/ZIP+2/ZIP matches (2% match rate) used to "fill in"

Unit of Analysis, Geographic Scale (varies across source data)



- Administrative boundaries
- Buffers
 - Circular
 - Polygon-based road network buffers

<u>Oliver LN, Schuurman N, Hall AW.</u> Comparing circular and network buffers to examine the influence of land use on walking for leisure and errands. Int J Health Geogr. 2007 Sep 20;6(1):41

Building the Obesity and Environment Database Add Health

- 145 communities, 80 counties, 33 states, >42,000 block groups
- Challenges took 7 years of research effort by our UNC group to overcome
 - national scale: requires significant GIS programming skills
 - scale and coordinate conversion issues: aligning databases spatially and temporally

ONEdata Obesity & Neighborhood Environment database

security and confidentiality



Building the Obesity and Environment Database Add Health

- Need "industrial-strength" GIS tools to handle data volume
- Must be customizable with scripting/programming languages
- Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI)
 - ArcInfo 8.x-9.x (customized with AML)
 - ArcView 3.3 (customized with Avenue)
 - ArcGIS 8.x-9.x (customized Python & Visual Basic)
 - NetEngine (customized with C)

Obesity & Neighborhood Environment database



It takes a village of trained professionals



Data Steps

Geocoding

QA/QC respondent locations

Build 8.03 km buffers

Built buffer for each respondent

Data Evaluation Project

Determine which external datasets had appropriate spatial/temporal data and accuracy (Dynamap) and create subset datasets corresponding to each community study area

Spatial Join

Merge subset datasets corresponding to each community study area

QA/QC

Evaluation



Join database to individual-level data

ID	BMI	BG: % in poverty	Number of Parks	Number of McDonalds
10090	31.5	43.2	0	10
10091	25.5	23.4	5	5
10000	28.9	19.1	8	0
10123	38.2	2.5	2	3

Some validation work

Physical activity facilities database

Characteristics of the validation locations in two non-Add Health communities

	Non-Urban mean ⁺ (SD) (n=40)	Urban mean ⁺ (SD) (n=40)
Block group area (mile ²)	3.7 (8.6)	0.03 (0.02)
Block group population	1,753 (948)	1,213 (782)
Population density (persons/ mile ²)	1,634 (1,152)	58,581 (35,285)
White non-Hispanic population	960 (673)	815 (768)
Median household income	\$40,157 (21,794)	\$33,925 (22,059)

†unweighted average among block groups, using 2000 Census data

Example of potential influence of GIS error on counts of facilities



Boone et al. Ann Epidemiol 2008

Agreement* of administratively defined neighborhood and nearest street of GPS and geocoded physical activity facility locations

Community	# Facilities	5-Digit ZIP Code	Census Tract	Block Group	Street
Non-Urban	63	59	59	58	45
		94%	94%	92%	71%
Urban	42	42	42	41	30
		100%	100%	98%	71%

*Agreement calculated among facilities in both the GIS and field census.

Boone et al. Ann Epidemiol 2008

Dataset Integration

Scale and coordinate conversion issues related to our databases and aligning these databases spatially, temporally, and communally





Solution

- Painstaking effort of examining record by record and location by location to confirm...
 - > all components of the database were spatially aligned
 - > all components were accurate, complete, and well linked
- In some cases, this required manual, visual comparison of data against Digital Orthophoto Quads (DOQ)
- A necessary undertaking -- major problems found in multiple source datasets
- Example: Geographic misalignment in one are within in underlying street data used for respondent & other locations in one area
 - \rightarrow required spatial adjustment in GIS database.

National data development effort → "exception" cases *will* be encountered!

Example: "Minute triangles" for Census units in TIGER/Line data

- Arbitrary polygonal representation of Census tracts & blocks for crews-of-vessel populations
- Small number nationwide, but neighborhoods for our sample include them
- Skewed neighborhood population density calculations

Security and Confidentiality

- need for de-identifying data to ensure confidentiality of respondents
- all file linkage and identifiers maintained by York University in Canada
- Imited ability to complete true exploratory spatial analysis

Solutions:

- designate specific personnel and protected hardware to work with location data
 - > no linkage for them to respondent data
- project investigators receive only derived data, no location data

It takes a village of trained professionals



Acknowledgements

- Penny Gordon-Larsen (UNC, Nutrition)
- Barry Popkin (UNC, Nutrition, Economics)
- Linda Adair (UNC, Nutrition)
- Kari North (UNC, Epidemiology)
- David Guilkey (UNC, Economics)
- Yan Song (UNC, City & Regional Planning)
- Postdocs: Janne Boone-Heinonen, Misa Graff
- Doctoral students: Ningqi Hou, Natalie The, Melissa Nelson, Whitney Robinson Melissa Scharoun-Lee



Spatial Analysis Unit (CPC): Phil Page, Jay Stewart, Marc Peterson, Evan Hammer and others NIH funding: NICHD, NIDDK, NIEHS

For More Information



http://www.cpc.unc.edu/projects/onedata



